PREMISES LIABILITY | FAVORABLE VERDICT

Connie Ader v. Defendant Retail Store

Plaintiff asked the jury for \$1.1 million at trial. The jury returned a verdict for \$20,000 for the Plaintiff however Defendant had a proposal for settlement and is moving for attorney's fees and costs.





LUKS, SANTANIELLO PETRILLO, COHEN & PETERFRIEND

Anthony J. Petrillo

Managing Partner | Tampa

Anthony Merendino
Managing Partner | Orlando

Managing Partners Anthony Petrillo, Esq., and Anthony Merendino, Esq., obtained a favorable result in a personal injury matter styled Connie Ader v. Defendant Retail Store in the Circuit Court of Lake County, Florida. Plaintiff asked the jury for \$1.1 million at trial. The jury returned a verdict for \$20,000 for the Plaintiff however Defendant had a proposal for settlement and is moving for attorney's fees and costs.

Plaintiff alleged that while she was a business invitee of Defendant Retail Store, she sustained a permanent ulnar nerve injury in her left upper extremity as a result of cutting her left forearm on a display basket while walking past it at a Defendant Retail Store. According to the Plaintiff, her left forearm was impaled by an allegedly defective display basket. Plaintiff's position was that the allegedly defective wire basket either impacted her ulnar nerve or cut through the sensory branches of the ulnar nerve. Plaintiff claimed that as a result of the incident, she experienced pain, numbness, tingling, weakness, and burning in her left upper extremity, specifically, the 4th and 5th digits of her left hand, which caused her an inability to wear her wedding ring or grip/hold things including, among other things, a cell phone, golf club, bicycle handle, and her husband's hand. Plaintiff sought damages for past and future pain & suffering, mental anguish, disability, disfigurement, inconvenience, and loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life.

Prior to trial, Defendant Retail Store admitted liability. At trial, an adverse inference instruction was given to the jury regarding Defendant Retail Store's loss of certain evidence (display basket) which allowed the jury to infer that the missing evidence was unfavorable to Defendant Retail Store. Plaintiff asked the jury for \$1.1 million dollars at trial using a per diem argument that Plaintiff should be awarded \$8.00 per hour for the 5 years since the incident and the estimated 20 years she is projected to live under the Mortality Table guidelines (excluding 8 hours per day for sleeping).

At trial, Mr. Petrillo and Mr. Merendino were able to demonstrate (1) similar complaints Plaintiff had of left upper extremity symptoms she had experienced prior to the incident at Defendant Retail Store, (2) the cut was superficial based upon Plaintiff's medical records, (3) that basic neuroanatomy made it highly improbable the Plaintiff's ulnar nerve or its sensory branches were impacted, (4) that Plaintiff's delayed complaints of symptoms she was allegedly experiencing were inconsistent with an ulnar nerve injury, and (5) that Plaintiff's minimal medical treatment for the laceration were inconsistent with her alleged pain & suffering.

PREMISES LIABILITY | FAVORABLE VERDICT

Connie Ader v. Defendant Retail Store

Plaintiff asked the jury for \$1.1 million at trial. The jury returned a verdict for \$20,000 for the Plaintiff however Defendant had a proposal for settlement and is moving for attorney's fees and costs.

Prior to trial, Defendant Retail Store served a Proposal for Settlement ("PFS") on the Plaintiff, and depending on the amount of Plaintiff's recoverable costs incurred prior to the date of the PFS, Defendant Retail Store will likely be entitled to recover its attorney's fees and costs from the Plaintiff from the date the PFS was served.

LUKS, SANTANIELLO PETRILLO, COHEN & PETERFRIEND

Our verdicts tell the story.™ Luks, Santaniello, Petrillo, Cohen & Peterfriend is a Florida Corporate & Insurance Defense Litigation firm. The firm defends professionals, corporations and their insurance companies from both Liability and Workers' Compensation claims. From our eleven Florida offices in Key West, Miami, Fort Lauderdale, Boca Raton, Stuart, Fort Myers, Tampa, Orlando, Jacksonville, Tallahassee and Pensacola, the firm's 120+ attorneys deliver counsel and legal services in over 33 practice areas. The firm has several strategic and innovative practice areas including a dedicated Time and Policy Limit Demands and Tenders Practice Group and Surgical SIU | LOP Practice that handle a number of specialized issues. Members have tried over 200 cases in Florida State and Federal Courts.

Our mission is to provide our clients with legal services that help them manage risk and reduce exposure. Our goal is to ensure that our clients obtain equal justice in the courtroom. The Law Firm is Peer Review Rated by Martindale-Hubbell and is a member of The Gavel.net LLC., Nationwide Claims Defense Network. The firm's Partners are members of the National Retail and Restaurant Defense Association (NRRDA), the Trucking Industry Defense Association (TIDA), the Florida Defense Lawyers Association (FDLA), the Defense Research Institute (DRI) and the Claims and Litigation Management Alliance (CLM).

Managing Partner Dan Santaniello, Esq., and Tampa Partner Anthony Petrillo, Esq., are Florida Bar Board Certified Civil Trial Experts. Construction Law Partners Christopher Burrows, Esq., and Patrick Hinchey, Esq., are Florida Bar Board Certified Construction Law Experts. The firm has a full service Appellate team in South, Central and Northern Florida to assist with summary judgments, motions in limine, discovery objectives, trial strategy and post-trial positions.

The Daily Business Review selected Luks, Santaniello as finalists for the Most Effective Lawyers for its innovation in filing a Declaratory Judgment Action in a multiple Wrongful Death claim. These times present extraordinary challenges to businesses and their insurers. Let us help even the playing field. View Contact Directory now.





